

From:
Sent: Sunday, 10 May 2020 7:38 PM
To: standards management
Subject: FSANZ Submission Form Received (Internet) - N/A

Categories:

	
Application/Proposal Number:	A1192 – Food derived herbicide-tolerant corn line MON87429
Organisation Name:	N/A
Organisation Type:	Individual
Representing:	Myself
Street Address:	
Postal Address:	
Contact Person:	
Contact Number:	
Email Address:	
Submission Text:	<p>Hi, So Bayer/Monsanto want to have a GE version of Corn allowed to sell as food/food addin as safe in NZ/Aust. Here are the problems with this: Glyphosate has been in the news in regards to court cases: https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/aug/10/monsanto-trial-cancer-dewayne-johnson-ruling And: https://www.theguardian.com/society/2019/apr/05/uk-councils-weedkiller-cancer-link-monsanto-roundup Creates herbicide</p>

resistance, yet another problem. Investing in GE tech is in the long term Malinvestment. All that is being done is to create a food that is resistant to bugs via chemical spraying/toppings, but this will either kill lots of bugs or create super weeds. Do this at a large scale and you will end up with biodiversity breakdown:

[https://www.theguardian.com/global-](https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2019/feb/21/worlds-food-supply-under-severe-threat-from-loss-of-biodiversity)

[development/2019/feb/21/worlds-food-supply-under-severe-threat-from-loss-of-biodiversity](https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2019/feb/21/worlds-food-supply-under-severe-threat-from-loss-of-biodiversity) Here is a quote on nutrition:

[https://enveurope.springeropen.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s12302-019-](https://enveurope.springeropen.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s12302-019-0274-1)

[0274-1](https://enveurope.springeropen.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s12302-019-0274-1) Food and feed that consists of, contains or is produced from GE organisms must not “have adverse effects on human health, animal health or the environment” (Articles 4(1)(a) and 16(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003) or “difer from the food which it is intended to replace to such an extent that its normal consumption would be nutritionally disadvantageous for the consumer” and/or “difer from feed which it is intended to replace to such an extent that its normal consumption would be nutritionally disadvantageous for animals or humans” How about the health effects on people, including allergies. Personally i have several allergies, i cannot eat certain foods, but if this is approved, and the food makers do NOT label the food, then its possible if i was to eat this type of food, i could have allergic reaction, medical event(Each medical event becomes worse). The rules for people like myself is i need to know so i can keep away otherwise their is a risk i can become sick, that means higher work loads for doctors/ hospitals via Tax. I am not aware of Corn is a problem for me

personally, but others it will be a problem, and new allergies can be created for people. The last problem overall is we (humans) do NOT have unlimited growth using a limited planet. FSANZ needs to decline the GE corn application.